represents the configuration of the complex cation together with the atom-labelling sequence. The central  $Cu^{2+}$  ion binds the NCS<sup>-</sup> ion and the two bipy ligands via the N atoms, so that N(1), N(13) and N(25) are located in the equatorial plane of the trigonal bipyramid with the Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion almost in this plane [max. deviation 0.023 (1) Å]; N(12) and N(24) occupy axial sites, with  $N(12)-Cu-N(24) = 176.4 (1)^{\circ}$ . The three equatorial N-Cu-N bond angles are close to 120° (Table 2), so that the distortion from a regular trigonal bipyramid is very small compared with the distortion found in the monoclinic [Cu(bipy),NSC]BF<sub>4</sub> complex (Tyagi & Hathaway, 1981). In the tetrafluoroborate salt of the complex, the two Cu-N(bipy) equatorial distances are significantly different, which is not so in the present case (Table 2). There are no unusual bond lengths and bond angles in the bipy ligands. The individual pyridine rings are planar [max. deviation 0.011 (4) Å] and the pertinent dihedral angles for each bipy ligand are 5.4 and  $7.1^{\circ}$  (corresponding to 0.6 and  $3.2^{\circ}$  in the monoclinic analogous complex). The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the bipy ligands is  $64.0^{\circ}$ , which is significantly smaller than the value of  $100 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ in the analogous complex. There is nothing unusual in the NCS<sup>-</sup> ligand (Stults, Day, Marianelli & Day, 1979), but the Cu-N(25)-C(26) angle has the value of

 $166 \cdot 2$  (2)° compared with  $174 \cdot 2$  (5)° in the analogous complex.

The crystal packing is achieved with the uncoordinated nitrate ions and water molecules. There are no short intermolecular interactions that could correspond to hydrogen bonds.

This work was partially supported by the Programa Regional de Desarrollo Científico de la OEA en Chile and by a Grant from DIB, Universidad de Chile (E 2282 y Q 949-8455).

#### References

- ANDRADE, C. (1985). Primer Simposio de Química Inorganica, Santiago, Chile. Abstract, p. 55-69.
- NONOYAMA, K., OJIMA, H., OHKI, K. & NONOYAMA, M. (1980). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 41, 155–159.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). SHELXTL. An Integrated System for Solving, Refining and Displaying Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- SPIRO, T. G. (1981). Copper Proteins, Vol. 3, chap. 4. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- STULTS, R., DAY, R. O., MARIANELLI, R. S. & DAY, V. W. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 1847-1852.
- TYAGI, S. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2029–2053.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 1193--1196

# cis-Dicarbonyl-trans-dichloro[3,6-bis(2-pyridyl)pyridazine]ruthenium(II) (1) and cis-Dicarbonyl-cis-dichloro[3,6-bis(2-pyridyl)pyridazine]ruthenium(II) (2)

By G. De Munno, G. Denti and G. De Rosa

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università della Calabria, 87030 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy

## and G. Bruno

Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica e Struttura Molecolare, Università di Messina, Italy

(Received 2 September 1987; accepted 9 February 1988)

Abstract. [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)]. (1)  $M_r = 462.3$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 15.004 (2), b = 10.641 (1), c $= 10.849 (1) \text{ Å}, \quad \beta = 94.31 (1)^{\circ}, \quad V = 1727.3 (4) \text{ Å}^3,$ Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.777 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , Mo Ka,  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 12 \cdot 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 912, T = 298 K, final conventional R = 0.029 for 2054 unique observed reflections. (2)  $M_r = 462 \cdot 3$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a =7.152 (1), b = 16.439 (2), c = 14.708 (2) Å,  $\beta =$ 93.46 (1)°,  $V = 1726 \cdot 0$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z=4, $D_r =$  $1.779 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , Mo K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 12.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 912, T = 298 K, final conventional R =0.023 for 2751 unique observed reflections. Both (1) and (2) have a six-coordinated Ru atom with a distorted

0108-2701/88/071193-04\$03.00

octahedral geometry, being bonded by two N atoms of the organic ligand, which acts as bidentate, by two Cl atoms and by two C atoms of CO groups. In (1) the Cl atoms are in *trans* position, the CO groups being *cis*; in (2) both Cl and CO groups are in *cis* position.

Introduction. Mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes of chelating (Cole-Hamilton, 1980; Deacon, Patrick, Skelton, Thomas & White, 1984) and bischelating (Braunstein, Baker, Strekas & Gafney, 1984; Ruminski & Petersen, 1982) heterocyclic N-donor ligands are receiving much attention in view of their interesting photocatalytic properties. Among the ligands © 1988 International Union of Crystallography of the above class, 3,6-bis(2-pyridyl)pyridazine (bppi) has been used to synthesize several mono- and bimetallic complexes, and the X-ray structures of some of them have been determined by us (Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Ghedini & De Munno, 1982; De Munno, Denti & Dapporto, 1983; De Munno & Denti, 1984; De Munno & Bruno, 1984). We report here on two mononuclear isomeric species in which bppi chelates the Ru(CO),Cl, moiety.

Experimental. From a light-protected methanolic suspension of Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and bppi in the molar ratio 1:1, a pale yellow microcrystalline precipitate of [Ru(bppi)-(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] (1) was recovered. The X-ray structural analysis showed that (1) was the cis-dicarbonyl-transdichloro species. The cis-dicarbonyl-cis-dichloro species was prepared from a warm acetonitrile solution of the dinuclear ( $\mu$ -bppi) [Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (Denti, De Rosa & Stillitani, 1987) compound. On cooling a gold-yellow microcrystalline compound was recovered. Crystals suitable for X-ray measurements were obtained from a warm ethanolic or acetonitrile solution for (1) and (2) respectively. Crystals  $0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.18$  (1),  $0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.18$  $0.15 \times 0.18$  mm (2). Siemens–Stoe diffractometer, scan range  $2\theta = 3-52^{\circ}$ , graphite-monochromatized Mo Ka radiation,  $\omega - 2\theta$  scan technique. 20 reflections with  $16 < 2\theta < 30^{\circ}$  (1) and  $14 < 2\theta < 24^{\circ}$  (2) used for measuring lattice parameters. No systematic loss of intensity of three standard reflections measured  $[1\overline{13},$  $1\overline{6}1$ ,  $\overline{1}6\overline{1}$  for (1); and 340,  $3\overline{4}1$ ,  $\overline{4}\overline{5}4$  for (2)]. 3398 reflections measured with  $\theta < 26^{\circ}$ , 2054 unique with  $I \ge 3\sigma(I), 0 \le h \le 14, 0 \le k \le 14, -19 \le l \le 19$  for (1), 3344 reflections measured with  $\theta < 26^{\circ}$ , 2751 unique with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ ,  $-18 \le h \le 18$ ,  $-20 \le k \le 0$ ,  $0 \le l \le 9$  for (2).  $R_{int}$  (from merging equivalent reflections) 0.018 and 0.014 for (1) and (2) respectively. Absorption ignored. Lp corrections. Scattering factors for non-hydrogen atoms from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, p. 99) and for H atoms from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). Anomalous-dispersion corrections from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, p. 149). Structures solved by Patterson and Fourier techniques and refined by a full-matrix least-squares procedure;  $\sum w(|F_o| |F_c|^2$  minimized; anisotropic thermal parameters for Ru, C, N, Cl and O, H atoms (calculated) assigned isotropic U of 0.06 Å<sup>2</sup> and not refined; final R = 0.029,  $wR = 0.034, w = 0.5480/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.002108(F_o)^2]; S$ = 0.77, max.  $\Delta/\sigma = 0.01$ , max. and min.  $\Delta\rho$  excursions in  $\Delta F$  synthesis 0.49 and  $-0.11 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  for (1). Final R = 0.023, wR = 0.028,  $w = 0.3777/[\sigma^2(F_0) +$  $0.002057(F_{a})^{2}$ ; S = 0.77, max.  $\Delta/\sigma = -0.13$ , max. and min.  $\Delta \rho$  excursions in  $\Delta F$  synthesis 0.34 and  $-0.52 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  for (2). VAX/VMS computer of the Università della Calabria and IBM 4341 computer of the Università di Messina; SHELX (Sheldrick, 1976) and PARST programs (Nardelli, 1983). Final atomic

Table 1. Fractional	atomic coordinates	$(\times 10^4)$ and				
equivalent isotropic	thermal parameters	(Hamilton,				
$(Å^2 \times 10^3)$	for non-hydrogen	atoms of				
compound (1)						

	compouna (1)				
	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$	
łu	1874 (1)	472 (1)	1252 (1)	42 (1)	
CI(1)	568 (1)	529 (1)	2387 (1)	57 (1)	
	3217 (1)	223 (1)	211 (1)	57 (1)	
J(1)	1561 (2)	-1432 (3)	830 (3)	43 (1)	
<b>V</b> (2)	2530 (2)	-498 (3)	2724 (3)	44 (1)	
N(3)	2986 (2)	111 (4)	3631 (3)	44 (1)	
N(4)	4157 (3)	-438 (4)	6549 (4)	69 (2)	
C(1)	1026 (3)	-1836 (5)	-138 (5)	54 (2)	
C(2)	839 (3)	-3080 (5)	-330 (5)	61 (2)	
C(3)	1194 (4)	-3950 (5)	484 (6)	67 (2)	
2(4)	1752 (3)	-3570 (5)	1488 (5)	57 (2)	
C(5)	1923 (3)	-2285 (4)	1632 (4)	45 (1)	
C(6)	2486 (3)	-1763 (4)	2674 (4)	41 (1)	
C(7)	2955 (3)	-2470 (4)	3590 (4)	51 (2)	
C(8)	3415 (3)	-1866 (4)	4534 (4)	50 (1)	
C(9)	3413 (3)	-540 (4)	4536 (4)	44 (1)	
C(10)	3885 (3)	201 (4)	5531 (4)	47 (2)	
C(11)	4011 (3)	1467 (5)	5418 (5)	61 (2)	
C(12)	4445 (4)	2111 (6)	6372 (6)	77 (2)	
C(13)	4733 (4)	1480 (6)	7410 (6)	74 (2)	
C(14)	4566 (5)	211 (6)	7464 (5)	82 (3)	
C(15)	2179 (4)	2103 (5)	1815 (5)	54 (2)	
C(16)	1288 (3)	1217 (5)	-159 (5)	52 (2)	
D(1)	2331 (3)	3088 (4)	2147 (4)	84 (2)	
<b>D</b> (2)	935 (3)	1657 (4)	-1011 (4)	84 (2)	

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$  and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Hamilton, 1959) (Å<sup>2</sup> × 10<sup>3</sup>) for non-hydrogen atoms of

compound (2)					
	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$	
Ru	1646 (1)	1816 (1)	707 (1)	289 (1)	
Cl(1)	4836 (1)	1961 (1)	1356 (1)	372 (2)	
Cl(2)	1304 (1)	542 (1)	1483 (1)	463 (2)	
N(Ì)	2839 (3)	1307 (1)	-442 (1)	315 (6)	
N(2)	2241 (3)	2835 (1)	-25 (1)	283 (6)	
N(3)	2026 (3)	3576 (1)	321 (1)	306 (7)	
N(4)	2729 (3)	5668 (1)	-249 (2)	368 (7)	
C(1)	3155 (2)	513 (2)	-594 (2)	401 (9)	
C(2)	4031 (4)	252 (2)	-1354 (2)	464 (11)	
C(3)	4569 (4)	815 (2)	-1979 (2)	473 (11)	
C(4)	4219 (4)	1626 (2)	-1846 (2)	410 (8)	
C(5)	3327 (4)	1863 (1)	-1064 (2)	303 (8)	
C(6)	2948 (3)	2719 (2)	-843 (2)	283 (7)	
C(7)	3289 (4)	3382 (2)	-1399 (2)	340 (8)	
C(8)	3014 (4)	4143 (2)	-1066 (2)	332 (8)	
C(9)	2462 (3)	4219 (1)	-175 (2)	320 (8)	
C(10)	2310 (3)	5027 (2)	273 (2)	320 (8)	
C(11)	1801 (4)	5104 (2)	1167 (2)	393 (9)	
C(12)	1737 (4)	5881 (2)	1539 (2)	419 (10)	
C(13)	2146 (4)	6537 (2)	1014 (2)	433 (9)	
C(14)	2623 (4)	6405 (2)	130 (2)	431 (9)	
C(15)	572 (4)	2380 (2)	1673 (2)	391 (9)	
C(16)	742 (4)	1628 (2)	123 (2)	363 (9)	
O(1)	-96 (4)	2718 (2)	2236 (1)	616 (9)	
O(2)	-2116 (3)	1477 (1)	-226 (2)	574 (8)	

coordinates for (1) and (2) are given in Tables 1 and 2 respectively,\* and bond lengths and angles in Table 3. Views of the compounds are given in Figs. 1 and 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 44760 (34 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### Table 3. Bond lengths (Å) and interbond angles (°) (°)

Compound (1)		Compound (2)	
$\begin{array}{l} Ru-N(1) \\ Ru-N(2) \\ Ru-C(15) \\ Ru-C(16) \\ Ru-C(16) \\ Ru-C(10) \\ C(15)-O(1) \\ C(16)-O(2) \\ N(1)-C(1) \\ N(1)-C(5) \\ C(1)-C(2) \\ C(2)-C(3) \\ C(3)-C(4) \\ C(4)-C(5) \\ C(5)-C(6) \\ C(5)-C(6) \\ C(6)-R(2) \\ N(2)-N(3) \\ C(6)-C(7) \\ C(7)-C(8) \\ C(8)-C(9) \\ C(9)-C(10) \\ C(10)-C(11) \\ C(11)-C(12) \\ C(12)-C(13) \\ C(13)-C(14) \\ C(14)-N(4) \\ N(4)-C(10) \\ \end{array}$	2.122 (3) 2.084 (3) 1.885 (5) 1.885 (5) 1.885 (5) 1.882 (3) 2.397 (1) 1.126 (7) 1.132 (7) 1.312 (7) 1.344 (6) 1.344 (5) 1.366 (7) 1.366 (8) 1.338 (8) 1.398 (7) 1.366 (8) 1.398 (7) 1.326 (5) 1.395 (6) 1.395 (6) 1.354 (6) 1.411 (6) 1.327 (5) 1.355 (6) 1.355 (9) 1.375 (9) 1.325 (6)	$\begin{array}{l} Ru-N(1)\\ Ru-N(2)\\ Ru-C(15)\\ Ru-C(15)\\ Ru-C(16)\\ C(15)-O(1)\\ C(16)-O(2)\\ N(1)-C(1)\\ N(1)-C(5)\\ C(1)-C(2)\\ C(2)-C(3)\\ C(3)-C(4)\\ C(4)-C(5)\\ C(5)-C(6)\\ C(6)-N(2)\\ N(2)-N(3)\\ C(6)-C(7)\\ C(7)-C(8)\\ C(8)-C(9)\\ C(9)-N(3)\\ C(9)-R(3)\\ C(9)-C(10)\\ C(10)-C(11)\\ C(11)-C(12)\\ C(12)-C(13)\\ C(13)-C(14)\\ C(14)-N(4)\\ N(4)-C(10)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 112 \ (2) \\ 2\cdot 049 \ (2) \\ 1\cdot 897 \ (3) \\ 2\cdot 405 \ (1) \\ 2\cdot 431 \ (1) \\ 1\cdot 891 \ (3) \\ 1\cdot 127 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 309 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 333 \ (3) \\ 1\cdot 322 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 404 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 404 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (2) \\ 1\cdot 373 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 333 \ (2) \\ 1\cdot 392 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 391 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 391 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 392 \ (5) \\ 1\cdot 380 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 338 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 338 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 336 \ (4) \\ 1\cdot 350 \ (4) \$
$\begin{split} &N(1)-Ru-N(2)\\ &N(1)-Ru-C(15)\\ &N(1)-Ru-C(15)\\ &N(1)-Ru-C(11)\\ &N(1)-Ru-C(12)\\ &N(2)-Ru-C(12)\\ &N(2)-Ru-C(13)\\ &N(2)-Ru-C(16)\\ &N(2)-Ru-C(16)\\ &N(2)-Ru-C(16)\\ &C(15)-Ru-C(12)\\ &C(15)-Ru-C(12)\\ &C(16)-Ru-C(12)\\ &C(16)-Ru-C(12)\\ &C(16)-Ru-C(12)\\ &C(16)-Ru-C(12)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(15)-O(1)\\ &Ru-C(10)-C(2)\\ &Ru-N(1)-C(5)\\ &N(1)-C(5)-C(6)\\ &N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)-C(6)\\ &N(1)-C(5)-C(6)\\ &N(1)-C(5)-C(6)\\ &N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2)\\ &C(5)-C(6)-N(2)-C(3)\\ &C(6)-N(2)-N(3)\\ &C(6)-N(2)-Ru\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &N(3)-C(9)-C(10)\\ &C(1)-C(11)-C(12)-C(13)\\ &C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-N(4)\\ &C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-N(4)\\ &C(14)-N(4)-C(10)\\ &C(14)-N(4)-C(10)\\ &C(12)-C(10)-C(11)\\ &C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-N(4)\\ &C(14)-N(4)-C(10)\\ &C(12)-C(10)-C(10)\\ &C(12)-C(10)-C(10)\\ &C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-N(4)\\ &C(14)-N(4)-C(10)\\ &C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-C(13)\\ &C(12)-C(13)$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.1 (1) \\ 173.5 (2) \\ 98.3 (2) \\ 87.6 (1) \\ 88.4 (1) \\ 96.8 (2) \\ 174.9 (2) \\ 88.6 (1) \\ 86.6 (1) \\ 87.9 (2) \\ 89.9 (2) \\ 93.5 (2) \\ 93.5 (2) \\ 93.5 (2) \\ 93.2 (1) \\ 93.5 (2) \\ 19.3 (2) \\ 19.3 (2) \\ 19.3 (2) \\ 17.6 (5) \\ 179.5 (5) \\ 125.7 (3) \\ 118.6 (4) \\ 115.7 (3) \\ 122.1 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (5) \\ 119.8 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.1 (4) \\ 125.2 (4) \\ 125.2 (4) \\ 125.3 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.2 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.5 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.4 (4) \\ 125.5 (4) \\ 125.4$	$\begin{split} & N(1) - Ru - N(2) \\ & N(1) - Ru - C(15) \\ & N(1) - Ru - C(11) \\ & N(1) - Ru - C(11) \\ & N(1) - Ru - C(12) \\ & N(2) - Ru - C(12) \\ & C(15) - Ru - C(12) \\ & C(15) - Ru - C(11) \\ & C(15) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(12) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(16) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(16) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(16) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(10) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(1) - Ru - C(16) \\ & C(1) - Ru - C(15) \\ & Ru - N(1) - C(15) \\ & Ru - N(1) - C(5) \\ & Ru - N(1) - C(5) \\ & Ru - N(1) - C(5) \\ & N(1) - C(5) - C(6) \\ & N(3) - C(9) - C(10) \\ & C(6) - C(7) - C(8) \\ \\ & C(9) - C(10) - C(11) \\ & C(10) - C(11) \\ \\ & C(10) - C(11) - C(12) \\ & C(13) - C(14) \\ \\ & C(14) - N(4) - C(10) \\ \\ \\ & C(14) - N(4) - C(10) \\ \\ \\ & C(14) - N(4) - C(10) \\ \\ & C(10) - C(10) \\ \\ & C(10) - C(10) \\ \\ & C(10) - C(10) \\ \\ \\ & C(10) - C$	$\begin{array}{c} 78\cdot3 (1)\\ 174\cdot0 (1)\\ 95\cdot3 (1)\\ 86\cdot5 (1)\\ 88\cdot2 (1)\\ 95\cdot8 (1)\\ 174\cdot4 (1)\\ 84\cdot6 (1)\\ 90\cdot7 (1)\\ 90\cdot7 (1)\\ 90\cdot7 (1)\\ 91\cdot1 (1)\\ 87\cdot6 (1)\\ 174\cdot3 (1)\\ 178\cdot6 (3)\\ 176\cdot4 (3)\\ 126\cdot7 (2)\\ 119\cdot4 (2)\\ 119\cdot2 (3)\\ 116\cdot8 (2)\\ 110\cdot4 (2)\right (2)$

**Discussion.** Complexes (1) and (2) consist of Ru<sup>II</sup> atoms, surrounded in an octahedral arrangement by two Cl atoms, two CO groups and two N atoms of the bppi ligand, which acts as bidentate, the non-coordinating N atoms being mutually *trans*. In both complexes the N atom of the coordinated pyridine ring is on

the opposite side with respect to a CO group, whereas in (2) a Cl atom is on the opposite side with respect to a pyridazine N atom, in (1) to a CO group. Assuming that the coordinated N atoms of the ligand occupy the equatorial positions of the octahedron, the axial positions are occupied in (1) by a Cl atom and a CO group, in (2) by two Cl atoms. The bite angle of the ligand is 77.1(1) and  $78.3(1)^{\circ}$  for (1) and (2) respectively. The pyridazine ring forms a different dihedral angle with the pyridine ring (a) involved or (b)not involved in the chelation. In the cis-cis compound (a) is greater and (b) is smaller than in the *cis-trans* one, the values being 8.9(1) vs  $4.9(1)^{\circ}$  and 5.6(1) vs  $13.0(1)^{\circ}$  respectively. The relevant difference of (b) dihedral angles might be caused by increased steric interactions between the rings in the cis-trans isomer with respect to the cis-cis one. The C-C inter-ring distance for the pyridazine-pyridine uncoordinated ring is longer in the cis-cis species than in the cis-trans one [1.490 (4) instead of 1.475 (6) Å]. All C-C and C-N distances in the ligand are almost in the same range for (1) and (2) and similar to those previously reported for bppi (Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Ghedini & De Munno, 1982; De Munno Denti & Dapporto, 1983; De Munno & Denti, 1984). If we consider as the equatorial plane of the octahedron the Ru-N(2)-N(1)-C(15)-C(16) and Ru-N(2)-N(1)-C(15)-C(15)Cl(1) average planes for the cis-trans and the cis-cis isomers respectively, we observe a shortening of the Ru-N(2) distance [2.084 (3) and 2.049 (2) Å for (1) and (2)], whereas Ru-Cl(1) increases from 2.392 (1) to 2.431(1) Å. The Ru-N(2) distance is longer for (1) (cis-trans) than for (2) (cis-cis). In the first case the N



Fig. 1. Molecular structure and atom-labeling scheme for (1).



Fig. 2. Molecular structure and atom-labeling scheme for (2).

atom is trans to a CO group, in the second it is trans to a Cl atom. A similar behaviour is observed for the Ru-Cl(1) distance, which is longer in (2) than in (1). In (2) the Cl atom is *trans* to a CO group, whereas in (1) it is *trans* to Cl. It is in accordance with the expected trans influence order and with that previously reported for *cis*-bis(2,2'-bipyridyl)carbonylchlororuthenium(II) perchlorate (Clear, Kelly, O'Connell, Vos, Cardin, Costa & Edwards, 1980). It seems that the uncoordinated pyridine does not have an important influence on the shortening of N(2)-Ru with respect to N(1)-Ru. This effect is present in both complexes, but it is more evident in the *cis*-*cis* compound [Ru-N(1) = $2 \cdot 112$  (2), Ru-N(2) =  $2 \cdot 049$  (2) Å]. On the other hand the shorter Ru-N(2) distances with respect to the Ru-N(1) ones in both complexes are in agreement with the greater  $\pi$  acidity of the pyridazine ring. The C–O distances [1.126 (7), 1.132 (7) Å in (1) and 1.127 (4),  $1 \cdot 109$  (4) Å in (2)] and the Ru–C–O angles [177.6 (5), 179.5 (5)° in (1) and 178.6 (3), 176.4 (3)° in (2)] are close to previously reported values (Clear, Kelly, O'Connell, Vos, Cardin, Costa & Edwards, 1980). The shortening of the C–O distance for the axial positions in the *cis-cis* compound with respect to the equatorial one in the cis-trans is noticeable.

#### References

- BRAUNSTEIN, G. H., BAKER, A. D., STREKAS, T. C. & GAFNEY, H. D. (1984). Inorg. Chem. 23, 857–864.
- CLEAR, J. M., KELLY, J. M., O'CONNELL, C. M., VOS, J. G., CARDIN, C. J., COSTA, S. R. & EDWARDS, A. J. (1980). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 750–751.
- Cole-Hamilton, D. J. (1980). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1213-1215.
- DEACON, G. B., PATRICK, J. M., SKELTON, B. W., THOMAS, N. C. & WHITE, A. H. (1984). Aust. J. Chem. 37, 929–945.
- DE MUNNO, G. & BRUNO, G. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 2022-2024.
- DE MUNNO, G. & DENTI, G. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 616-618.
- DE MUNNO, G., DENTI, G. & DAPPORTO, P. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 74, 199-203.
- DENTI, G. DE ROSA, G. & STILLITANI, F. (1987). Proc. XX Italian National Congress of Inorganic Chemistry. Pavia, Sept. 15–18.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 609-610.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- MANOTTI LANFREDI, A. M., TIRIPICCHIO, A., GHEDINI, M. & DE MUNNO, G. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1165–1168.
- NARDELLI, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- RUMINSKI, R. R. & PETERSEN, J. D. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 3706–3708.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 1196-1198

## The Determination of Absolute Structure. VI.\* The Structure of Zinc Digold(III) Octapropionate Dihydrate: Absolute Structure and Ghost Peaks

BY PETER G. JONES, RALF SCHELBACH, EINHARD SCHWARZMANN AND CARSTEN THÖNE

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Tammannstrasse 4, 3400 Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 8 January 1988; accepted 8 March 1988)

Abstract.  $[Au_2Zn(C_3H_5O_2)_8(H_2O)_2], M_r = 1079.9,$ tetragonal,  $I\bar{4}, a = 9.092$  (2), c = 22.540 (8) Å, V = 1863.3 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2, D_x = 1.925$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $F(000) = 1040, \lambda$ (Mo Ka) = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu = 8.6$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 293 K. The structure was refined to R = 0.030 for 1631 unique observed reflections. The absolute structure was determined with an  $\eta$  refinement  $[\eta = +1.06$  (3)]. A two-dimensional polymeric structure is observed; the Zn atom is coordinated octahedrally by four propionate oxygens and two *trans* waters, and the Au is coordinated by four propionate oxygens in a square plane. One propionate bridges Zn and Au, the other is only bonded to Au and has one non-coordinated O. The refinement of the wrong absolute structure led to a large

0108-2701/88/071196-03\$03.00

difference peak at 0, 0, 0.5, which could be successfully refined as an O atom. Incorrect absolute structure can thus lead to incorrect stoichiometry.

Introduction. In the course of our studies of gold(III) carboxylate complexes we have reported the structure of strontium digold(III) octaacetate dihydrate (Jones, 1984*a*). A detailed report of several other acetato-gold(III) complexes is in preparation. Here we show that the synthetic and structural principles can be extended to propionate complexes.

**Experimental.** Zinc digold(III) octahydroxide was prepared as a grey powder from aqueous solutions of NaAu(OH)<sub>4</sub> and Zn(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and immediately dissolved in warm propionic acid. On standing for several days,

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Part V: Jones & Meyer-Bäse (1987).